

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/832,581	04/11/2001	Michael Donovan Mitchell	8494	3612
27752 75	590 09/25/2003			
THE PROCTER & GAMBLE COMPANY			EXAMINER	
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DIVISION WINTON HILL TECHNICAL CENTER - BOX 161		CINTINS, IVARS C		
CINCINNATI,	R HILL AVENUE , OH 45224		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER ,
•			1724	•
			DATE MAILED: 09/25/2003	()

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
ı	09/832,581	MITCHELL ET AL.			
· Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
,					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Ivars C. Cintins ears on the c ver sheet with the	1724 correspondence address			
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 June 2003.					
<u> </u>					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14,16-29,31-35 and 37-45</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14,16-29,31-35 and 37-45</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	·				
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	- · ·				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
⁻ a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.					
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 10	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/832,581

Art Unit: 1724

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-14, 16-29, 31-35 and 37-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yan et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,538,932) in view of Baker (U.S. Patent No. 5,416,056), further in view of Dawes et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,998,328). Yan et al. discloses an activated carbon filter (see col. 2, line 11) formed from lignocellulosic material (col. 3, line 33) and a lignosulfonate binder (see col. 6, line 57; and col. 8, last line). Accordingly, this primary reference discloses the claimed invention with the exception of the carbonizing step and the recited substrate. Baker teaches activating a similar starting material (see col. 1, line 10) with a two stage process, including carbonization and activation (see col. 3, lines 55-61). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to activate the carbonaceous material of Yan et al. in the manner suggested by Baker, in order to obtain the advantages disclosed by this secondary reference (i.e. the production of a highly microporous activated carbon) for the system of the primary reference. Furthermore, Dawes et al. teaches coating activated carbon onto an inorganic support material, such as glass or ceramic (see col. 3, lines 66-67); and it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the activated carbon of the modified primary reference with a similar support material, in order to facilitate its handling. The exact carbon add-on and surface area of the resultant material, the exact sum of the mesopore and macropore volumes in this material, and the exact BRI and VRI ratings of the filter are deemed to be obvious matters of

Application/Control Number: 09/832,581

Art Unit: 1724

choice, dependent upon the intended use of the modified primary reference material (see col. 1, lines 29-32).

Bailey et al. (U.S. Patent No. 3,849,332) and Du Plessis (U.S. Patent No. 6,206,941) disclose similar dual stage activation procedures.

Applicant's arguments filed June 16, 2003 have been noted and carefully considered, but no longer appear to be relevant in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Applicant's amendment (i.e. reciting that the material is both <u>carbonized</u> and activated) necessitated the new grounds of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to I. Cintins whose telephone number is (703) 308-3840. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM. If

Application/Control Number: 09/832,581

Art Unit: 1724

attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Blaine Copenheaver, can be reached at (703) 308-1261.

The fax phone numbers for this art unit are: (703) 872-9311 for "Official" faxes after Final Rejection; (703) 872-9310 for all other "Official" faxes; and (703) 872-9492 for "Draft" and other "Unofficial" faxes.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Ivars C. Cintins
Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1724

I. Cintins September 21, 2003